

Comparison of Track-Beam Interaction among Chinese Code, Eurocode and Japanese code

Gonglian Dai

Professor

Central South University

Changsha, Hunan, China
daiqonglian@csu.edu.cn

Brief Biography
Professor, School of Civil Engineering,
Central South University

Kan Dang

Graduate Student

Central South University

Changsha, Hunan, China
850118270@qq.com

Brief Biography
Master, School of Civil Engineering,
Central South University

Y. Frank Chen

Professor

The Pennsylvania State University

Middletown, Pennsylvania State,
United States
yxc2@psu.edu

Brief Biography
**Professor of The Pennsylvania State
University**

Tianpei Liu

Professor-level Senior Engineer

China Railway Siyuan Survey And
Design Group Co. LTD

Wuhan, Hubei, China

799676269@qq.com

Brief Biography
Professor-level senior engineer, China
Railway Siyuan Survey And Design
Group Co. LTD

Contact: http://faculty.csu.edu.cn/daigonglian/zh_CN/index.htm

1 Abstract

After the continuously welded rail (CWR) is laid on the bridge, relative displacements between beam and track under the action of temperature, creep, vertical live load, and braking force will occur. Due to the constraint between beam and track, the longitudinal forces are generated in the track, beam, and pier. This paper aims to compare the differences in track-beam interaction between the Japanese code, the Eurocode and the Chinese code. Particularly the distinctions in track resistance models, braking forces, temperature loads, and vertical live loads. For example, the Chinese code and Eurocode use a nonlinear resistance model, but the Japanese code uses a constant resistance model. A representative bridge example is used to demonstrate the differences, where the finite element analysis is adopted. In the finite element analysis, nonlinear bars or constant bars are used to simulate the track resistance, beam elements with rigid links are used to simulate the bridge, and the mechanical model for the ballasted track was established. Continuous simply-supported beam is assumed in the analysis.

Based on the calculation results, it is found that the additional longitudinal stress, the relative displacement, and the reaction force caused by the temperature, vertical live loads, and braking force are higher as calculated by the Eurocode compared to the Chinese code and the Japanese code.

Keywords: High-speed railway; Continuous welded rail; Track-bridge interaction; Telescopic force; Braking force; Deflection force